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## Rerefinement of tris(dimethylammonium) dodecamolybdophosphate in the space group $\boldsymbol{R} \overline{3} \boldsymbol{m}$

In the title compound, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{3}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40} \mathrm{P}\right]$, the Keggin ion $\left[\mathrm{PO}_{40} \mathrm{Mo}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ lies on a special position of site symmetry 3 m and the $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]^{+}$cation on a special position of site symmetry $m$.

## Comment

The Keggin salt, $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]_{3}^{+}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{40} \mathrm{Mo}_{12}\right]^{3-}$, which was obtained from the reaction of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide and $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{40} \mathrm{Mo}_{12}\right]$, has been described in the space group $R \overline{3}$ (Liu et al., 2004). PLATON (Spek, 2003) suggests the correct space group to be $R \overline{3} m$. When the structure is rerefined in the higher-symmetry space group, the $\left[\mathrm{PO}_{40} \mathrm{Mo}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ ion lies on a special position of site symmetry $3 m$ and the $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]^{+}$ cation on another special position of site symmetry $m$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]_{3}^{+}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40} \mathrm{P}\right]^{3-} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

The revised structure, (I) (Fig. 1 and Table 1), is isostructural with a molybdophosphate reported as having a carbenium counter-ion, $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]_{3}^{+} \cdot\left[\mathrm{PO}_{40} \mathrm{Mo}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ (Jian et al., 2004), the two structures having essentially identical cell dimensions and atomic coordinates (of non-H atoms). However, as there is no precedent for the existence of a stable carbenium cation in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.25; Allen, 2002), this yellow compound is probably the title ammonium complex; indeed, the structure could be rerefined to a lower $R$ index.

The mixed-metal Keggin compound formulated as $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{40} \mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{~W}_{6}\right] \cdot 3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was also incorrectly refined in $R \overline{3}$ (Peng et al., 1998); the position of the H atom in the Keggin framework was inferred on the basis of the electroneutrality of the presumed dimethyl ether solvate. The structure features short contacts between the ether O atom and the O atoms of the neutral Keggin molecule. When the space group is revised ( $\mathrm{Ng} \& \mathrm{Rae}, 1999 ; \mathrm{Ng} \& \mathrm{Xie}, 2003$ ) to $R \overline{3} \mathrm{~m}$, the non-H atomic coordinates are again nearly identical to those of the ammonium 12-molybdophosphate. As such, the compound is most likely $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]_{3}^{+}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{40} \mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{~W}_{6}\right]^{3-}$. The metal atoms are statistically disordered.

## Experimental

The diffraction data were kindly provided by the senior author of the $R \overline{3}$ structure (Liu et al., 2004).

## Crystal data

$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{3}\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40} \mathrm{P}\right]$
$M_{r}=1960.53$
Trigonal, $R \overline{3} m$
$a=16.541(2) \AA$
$c=25.154(7) \AA$
$V=5960(2) \AA$
$Z=6$
$D_{x}=3.277 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Data collection

Siemens $P 4$ four-circle diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan (North et al., 1968)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.295, T_{\text {max }}=0.484$
3044 measured reflections 1304 independent reflections
1150 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.027$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.069$
$S=1.08$
1304 reflections
116 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 34
$\quad$ reflections
$\theta=5.0-12.9^{\circ}$
$\mu=3.81 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=295(2) \mathrm{K}$
Block, yellow
$0.52 \times 0.34 \times 0.34 \mathrm{~mm}$

$R_{\text {int }}=0.037$
$\theta_{\max }=25.0^{\circ}$
$h=-1 \rightarrow 19$
$k=-19 \rightarrow 1$
$l=-6 \rightarrow 29$
3 standard reflections
every 97 reflections
intensity decay: none

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.038 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +0.2473 P \text { ] } \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=1.35 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.91 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{~A}^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinction correction: SHELXL97 } \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.00054 \text { (3) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| Mo1-O1 | 1.680 (4) | Mo2-O6 | 1.687 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo1-O2 | 1.910 (1) | Mo2-O7 | 1.926 (3) |
| Mo1-O3 | 1.922 (3) | Mo2-O10 | 2.450 (3) |
| Mo1-O10 | 2.423 (4) | Mo3-O7 | 1.913 (3) |
| Mo2-O3 | 1.907 (3) | Mo3-O8 | 1.927 (2) |
| Mo2-O4 | 1.909 (1) | Mo3-O9 | 1.676 (4) |
| Mo2-O5 | 1.922 (2) | Mo3-O11 | 2.443 (4) |
| O1-Mo1-O2 | 101.8 (2) | O4-Mo2-O10 | 83.4 (1) |
| O1-Mo1-O3 | 102.0 (1) | O5-Mo2-O6 | 101.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{O} 10$ | 173.4 (2) | O5-Mo2-O7 | 87.7 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 85.1 (2) | O5-Mo2-O10 | 72.1 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 156.2 (2) | O6-Mo2-O7 | 102.3 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | 89.0 (2) | O6-Mo2-O10 | 171.0 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{O} 10$ | 83.0 (1) | O7-Mo2-O10 | 83.4 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{O} 3{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 87.2 (2) | O7-Mo3-O7 $7^{\text {iii }}$ | 86.3 (2) |
| O3-Mo1-O10 | 73.3 (1) | O7-Mo3-O8 | 156.7 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 89.9 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{Mo} 3-\mathrm{O} 8^{\text {iv }}$ | 88.3 (2) |
| O3-Mo2-O5 | 87.4 (2) | O7-Mo3-O9 | 102.6 (1) |
| O3-Mo2-O6 | 101.5 (1) | O7-Mo3-O11 | 84.0 (1) |
| O3-Mo2-O7 | 156.2 (1) | $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{Mo} 3-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 87.8 (2) |
| O3-Mo2-O10 | 72.9 (1) | O8-Mo3-O9 | 100.7 (2) |
| O4-Mo2-O5 | 155.1 (2) | O8-Mo3-O11 | 72.9 (1) |
| O4-Mo2-O6 | 103.8 (2) | O9-Mo3-O11 | 170.9 (2) |
| O4-Mo2-O7 | 85.0 (2) |  |  |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+y,-x, z$; (ii) $x, x-y, z$; (iii) $-y,-x, z$; (iv) $-y, x-y, z$.
The H atoms were placed at calculated positions $[\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.90 \AA$, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.97 \AA$ and $\left.U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C})\right]$ and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation. The final difference Fourier map had a large residual electron-density peak located $3.5 \AA$


## Figure 1

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of (I); displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Symmetry labels refer to the codes in Table 1.
from the nearest atom $(\mathrm{H} 1 b)$. However, the electron density could not be refined as a water O atom.

Data collection: XSCANS (Bruker, 1994); cell refinement: LEAST SQUARES in XSCANS (Bruker, 1994); data reduction: REDUCE in XSCANS (Bruker, 1994); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The author thanks Professor Shu-Xia Liu of Northeast Normal University for the four-circle diffraction data, Professor Jian-Jian Fang of the Qingdao University of Science and Technology for the area-detector diffraction data, and the University of Malaya for supporting this study.
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